

# MOODY'S

## INVESTORS SERVICE

### New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa2 to Wilmot Union H.S.D., WI's \$28.2M GO Ref. Bonds, Ser. 2015A

---

Global Credit Research - 18 Aug 2015

#### Aa2 rating applies to \$33.2M of outstanding rated GO debt

WILMOT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, WI  
Public K-12 School Districts  
WI

#### Moody's Rating

ISSUE		RATING
Taxable General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A		Aa2
<b>Sale Amount</b>	\$28,190,000	
<b>Expected Sale Date</b>	08/26/15	
<b>Rating Description</b>	General Obligation	

#### Moody's Outlook NOO

NEW YORK, August 18, 2015 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa2 rating to Wilmot Union High School District, WI's \$28.2 million Taxable General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A. Concurrently, Moody's maintains the Aa2 rating on outstanding rated general obligation unlimited tax (GOULT) debt. Post-sale, the district will have \$36.9 million of outstanding GOULT debt, \$33.2 million of which is Moody's rated.

#### SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa2 rating reflects the district's moderately-sized, residential tax base in southeastern Wisconsin (Aa2 positive). The rating also incorporates the district's healthy financial operations; ample fund balance but relatively narrow cash; strong fiscal management; slightly above average debt profile; and modest pension burden.

#### OUTLOOK

Outlooks are usually not assigned to local government credits with this amount of debt outstanding.

#### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Significant growth to the district's tax base and/or socioeconomic characteristics
- Material, sustained increases to General Fund reserves and liquidity

#### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Significant deterioration to the district's tax base and/or socioeconomic characteristics
- Material, sustained decline to General Fund reserves and/or liquidity

#### STRENGTHS

- Somewhat above average resident wealth characteristics
- Healthy financial position supported by strong fiscal management
- Low pension burden

## CHALLENGES

- Stagnant trend of property valuations
- Relatively narrow liquidity
- Slightly above average debt burden

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Recent developments are discussed in the Detailed Rating Rationale.

## DETAILED RATING RATIONALE

### TAX BASE AND ECONOMY: MODERATELY-SIZED TAX BASE EXPERIENCING STAGNANT VALUATION TRENDS

The district's tax base is unlikely to demonstrate material near-term growth, although valuation has begun to stabilize following several years of significant declines. Located in southeastern Wisconsin in Kenosha County (Aa2), the district operates one high school facility, serving the Villages of Silver Lake and Twin Lake, as well as the Townships of Salem, Randall, and Wheatland. The base is highly residential, as residential real estate comprised 90% of 2014 equalized value. Valued in 2014 at a moderately-sized \$1.9 billion, the tax base has declined by an average annual rate of 4.5% over the last five years. The declines were primarily a result of a softening of the residential real estate market. Favorably, the tax base did grow by a modest 0.8% in 2014, perhaps signaling that a bottoming out of valuations occurred in 2013. While we do not expect material growth over the near-term, the district's long-term potential for tax base growth remains, given the district's location and availability of undeveloped land.

The district's socioeconomic profile is somewhat above average. According to data from the US Census Bureau's American Community Survey (2009-2013), the district's median family income stands at 116.4% of US levels. Based on its 2010 population of 18,722 the district's 2014 estimated full value per capita was a strong \$101,000. While the district's total population grew 14.6% from 2000 to 2010, student enrollment has experienced recent declines. For fiscal 2015, enrollment stood at 1,109 which represented a decline of 100 students from fiscal 2010. District officials expect enrollment to remain fairly stable going forward given enrollment increases in the district's K-8 feeder schools, and enrollment did increase by 24 students in fiscal 2015 as compared to fiscal 2014.

### FINANCIAL OPERATIONS AND RESERVES: HEALTHY RESERVE POSITION SUPPORTED BY STRONG FISCAL MANAGEMENT; RELATIVELY NARROW CASH POSITION

We anticipate the district's financial profile will remain steady given management's demonstrated history of maintaining satisfactory reserves. The district has recorded two consecutive audited operating surpluses since fiscal 2013, including a modest \$82,000 surplus in fiscal 2014. The fiscal 2014 surplus increased the General Fund's balance to \$3.9 million, or a healthy 25.7% of General Fund revenues. In addition to the General Fund, the district maintained \$1.6 million in restricted debt service reserves. Combined operating funds stood at \$5.5 million, or 29.2% of combined receipts. While audited financials for fiscal 2015 are not yet available, management estimates adding \$222,000 to the General Fund's balance. A balanced budget has been approved for fiscal 2016. Reserve levels are expected to remain in excess of the district's stated policy to maintain 18% of revenues in reserve.

The district's main sources of operating revenues are local property taxes (53% of fiscal 2014 General Fund revenues) and state (39%). While most districts are generally restricted from raising revenues beyond state imposed revenue limits, absent voter override, the district does have more flexibility in adjusting expenditures. While management has in the past made expenditure reductions in the areas of health insurance and overall employee headcount, staffing levels are expected to remain consistent over the near-term.

### Liquidity

The district's liquidity position is satisfactory but narrow relative to comparably rated credits. Historically, the district had needed to maintain a line of credit with a local bank, upon which it drew annually to ensure sufficient cash flow. However, as the district's financial condition gradually improved, the district reduced its dependence on the line. The district drew \$500,000 from its \$1.8 million line in fiscal 2014, the full amount of which it had paid back by the end of the year. At year-end fiscal 2014, the district had \$1.4 million in operating cash, equivalent to an adequate but still relatively narrow 7.1% of revenues. The district did not have to cash flow borrow in fiscal 2015,

and does not expect to borrow in fiscal 2016 either.

#### DEBT AND PENSIONS: SLIGHTLY ABOVE AVERAGE DEBT BURDEN; MODEST PENSION EXPOSURE

We expect the district's debt burden to remain manageable. The district's direct debt burden is slightly above average at 2.0% of full value and 1.9 times operating expenditures. The district has no additional plans for long-term borrowing at this time.

##### Debt Structure

Post-sale principal amortization is rapid with 100% of outstanding GOULT debt retired within 10 years. Save for a small amount of capital leases and the aforementioned line of credit, all of the district's debt consist of long-term, fixed rate GOULT debt.

##### Debt-Related Derivatives

The district is not a party to any debt-related derivatives.

##### Pensions and OPEB

The district's exposure to unfunded liabilities from its share of the state multi-employer pension plan, the Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS), is expected to remain manageable. The district's employer contribution to WRS in calendar year 2014 was \$504,000, equal to the required contribution, or a modest 2.7% of fiscal 2014 revenues. Moody's adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the district, under our methodology for adjusting reported pension data, was \$3.4 million for fiscal 2013. In the three years through fiscal 2013 the district's ANPL averaged a low 0.3 times operating revenues, inclusive of the General Fund and Debt Service Funds, and a modest 0.3% of full value. Moody's ANPL reflects certain adjustments we make to improve the comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the district's reported contribution information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities. We determined the district's share of liability for WRS in proportion to its share of covered payroll.

The district also provides other post-employment benefits (OPEB) to eligible retirees and dependents through a single- employer defined benefit plan. As of July 1, 2014, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the OPEB plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) was \$2.0 million. The plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.

#### MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE: STRONG INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK; CAPABLE MANAGEMENT

Wisconsin school districts have an institutional framework score of "Aa", or strong. School districts benefit from predictable and timely disbursements of state aid and property tax revenue, the latter of which is made whole by overlapping governments. Districts operate under revenue limits but have the ability to request voter authorization for levy overrides. Although state aid has been reduced in recent years, Wisconsin's Act 10 legislation provides school districts with considerable expenditure flexibility as it curbs the bargaining power of non-public safety government employees. Pensions are well-funded.

##### KEY STATISTICS

2014 Full value: \$1.9 billion

2014 Full value per capita: \$101,000

Median family income as % of the US: 116.4%

Fiscal 2014 available combined operating fund balance / revenue: 29.2%

5-year change in available combined operating fund balance / revenue: 4.9%

Fiscal 2014 combined operating fund net cash / revenue: 7.1%

5-year change in combined operating fund net cash / revenue: 2.9%

Institutional framework score: Aa

5-year average combined operating revenue / combined operating expenditures: 1.01

Net direct debt burden: 2.0% of full valuation; 1.9 times combined operating revenue

3-year average Moody's adjusted net pension liability: 0.3% of full valuation; 0.3 times combined operating revenue

#### OBLIGOR PROFILE

The Wilmot Union High School District is located wholly in Kenosha County. The district operates one high school facility, serving the Villages of Silver Lake and Twin Lake, as well as the Townships of Salem, Randall, and Wheatland. The district's fiscal 2015 enrollment was 1,109.

#### LEGAL SECURITY

Debt service on the district's general obligation bonds, including the Series 2015A bonds, is secured by the district's general obligation unlimited tax (GOULT) pledge to levy a dedicated tax unlimited as to rate or amount.

#### USE OF PROCEEDS

Proceeds from the bonds will be used to redeem the March 1, 2018 through March 1, 2024 maturities of the district's General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2004B bonds for debt service savings.

#### RATING METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Credit Policy page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for a copy of this methodology.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com).

The following information supplements Disclosure 10 ("Information Relating to Conflicts of Interest as required by Paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of SEC Rule 17g-7") in the regulatory disclosures made at the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for each credit rating:

Moody's was not paid for services other than determining a credit rating in the most recently ended fiscal year by the person that paid Moody's to determine this credit rating.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

#### **Analysts**

Andrew T. Van Dyck Dobos  
Lead Analyst  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

Rachel Cortez  
Additional Contact

Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

**Contacts**

Journalists: (212) 553-0376  
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
USA



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.